Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,204	ALISON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lauren Sliger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 58-62,64-68  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a attempt at the record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
Anne-Marie Falk		
ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Applicant's representative that cancellation of claims according to the new amendment format requires submission of a substitute claim set. Therefore, the parties agreed to cancel claims 66-68 by examiner's amendment. The parties also discussed the antecedent basis for "the composition" of claims 58-62, 64 and 65.